United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,621	12/06/2005	Steven Thomas Slunick	60158-315	2760	
26096 CARLSON, G	7590 05/23/2007 ASKEY & OLDS, P.C.		EXAMINER		
400 WEST MAPLE ROAD			KEE, FANNIE C		
SUITE 350 BIRMINGHAI	M, MI 48009		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3679		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/559,621	SLUNICK ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Fannie C. Kee	3679				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	lress			
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failur Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	ICATION. Teply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this core ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 De</u>	ecember 2005.					
2a)□		action is non-final.					
3)	, _						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-19 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PT0	D-152 .			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			·			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
•	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	n received in this National S	3tage			
	application from the International Bureau	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
- 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
			·				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

- a. Species I drawn to Figures 1-2;
- b. Species II drawn to Figure 3;
- c. Species III drawn to Figure 4; and,
- d. Species IV drawn to Figure 5.
- 2. Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/559,621

Art Unit: 3679

3. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

i. Claims 1-9 and 13-18 correspond to Species I because they disclose a fluid connection assembly where the fluid port is inserted into the metal tube and which has both a retainer and a locating feature.

Page 3

- ii. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 15, and 18 correspond to Species II because they disclose a fluid connection assembly where the metal tube is inserted into the fluid port and which has both a retainer and a locating feature.
- iii. Claims 2-5, 8, 11, and 19 correspond to Species III because they disclose a fluid connection assembly where the fluid port is inserted into the metal tube and the metal tube is crimped to retain the fluid port within the metal tube.
- iv. Claims 2-5, 12, 15 and 18 correspond to Species IV because they disclose a fluid connection assembly where the metal tube is inserted into the fluid port with a seal to seal the joint between the metal tube and the fluid port and which has a retainer which encloses the entire joint.

The following claim(s) are generic: 2-5.

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species disclosed are not similar as they show varying means used to create fluid connections assemblies, i.e., the different connections between the housing and the tube and the use of a retainer or lack there of.

Art Unit: 3679

5. A telephone call was made to Karin H. Butchko on 5/17/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 10/559,621

Art Unit: 3679

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fannie C. Kee whose telephone number is (571) 272-1820. The

examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fannie C. Kee May 17, 2007

> AARON DUNWOODY PRIMARY EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 5